U.S. Application No. 10/783,640 Examiner: Robert A. Sorey
Response to Final Office Action mailed May 10, 2010 Art Unit: 3626

Page 4 of 5

REMARKS

Prior to this Response, claims 14-23 were pending in the application. Claims 14-23 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over the combination of U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2002/0038392 to De La Huerga and U.S. Pat. No. 7,154,397 to Zerhusen. With this Reply, claim 14 has been amended to more clearly point out the patentable aspects of the present invention and to overcome the rejections set forth in the Office Action. Support for these amendments can be found in paragraphs [0067] and [0072] of the specification. No new matter has been added. Thus, claims 14-23 remain presently pending in this application.

Embodiments of the claimed invention can include an infusion pump assembly having a display connected to and operated by a computer processor contained within the pump's housing. The display can also contain two discrete portions such that the display is capable of displaying two different types of information simultaneously. The first display portion can be configured to display pump information and the second display portion can be configured to display a web browser. This allows the user of the infusion pump to be able to monitor critical pump information while simultaneously accessing other types of information through a web browser. For example, one type of information that could be accessed is a nurses' task list. This would allow a nurse to both monitor pump operation and determine what other tasks need to be performed simultaneously without having to change computer terminals.

Amended claim 14 is not obvious over the combination of De La Huerga and Zerhusen because these references fail to establish prima facie obviousness. Unlike the present invention, Zerhusen merely discloses a touch screen capable of displaying multiple icons at one time, one of which can be used to establish an Internet connection. Zerhusen at col. 14, lines 3-12, col. 16, lines 40-47. Zerhusen states, "By activating one of the icons, menus are called up and displayed

U.S. Application No. 10/783,640 Examiner: Robert A. Sorey
Response to Final Office Action mailed May 10, 2010 Art Unit: 3626

Page 5 of 5

on the touch screen." Zerhusen at col. 13, lines 50-53. Zerhusen also teaches, "When Internet

icon 658 is touched, a customized home page or other Internet connection is made." Zerhusen at

col. 16, lines 40-41. Conversely, amended claim 14 requires "the dual function touch screen

display" to comprise "a first portion and a second portion, wherein the first portion is configured

to display a pump information page and wherein the second portion is configured to

concurrently display a web browser page." Accordingly, Zerhusen fails to teach or suggest

several limitations of amended claim 14. Furthermore, as the Office Action correctly notes on

page 4, De La Huerga fails to teach or suggest these limitations either. As a result, the

combination of De La Huerga and Zerhusen fails to teach or suggest every element of amended

claim 14 and Applicants respectfully request that the obviousness rejection of this claim be

withdrawn. Claims 15-23 all depend from claim 14 and are allowable for the same reasons.

CONCLUSION

Applicants request entry of the present amendments and examination of the pending

claims in view thereof. Commissioner is authorized to charge any fee deficiency, or credit any

overpayments, to Deposit Account No. 50-3118. The Examiner is invited to contact the

undersigned if the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite allowance of the

present claims and application.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 9, 2010

By: _/MRC/_

Michael R. Crabb

Registration No. 37,298 Attorney for Applicants